

**BOARD OF SELECTMEN  
TOWN OF HAMPTON FALLS**

**JUNE 22, 2022 – 8:00 AM  
TOWN HALL**

*FINAL*

**PRESENT:** M. R. Lane, Chairman; E. B. Beattie, Vice Chairman; L. Gargiulo, Selectman  
K. Anderson, Town Administrator; R. D. Webb, Town Secretary

**GUESTS:** R. Veno, Police Chief; R. Hilliard, Road Agent; M. Sikorski, Building Inspector;  
J. Ziolkowski, ELM

Chairman M. Lane called the meeting of the Board of Selectmen to order at 8:00 AM.

**Police Department / Animal Control Monthly Report** – Police Chief Ryan Veno presented his monthly report and stated that the numbers were fairly similar to the prior month. K. Anderson pointed out during the month of May at 640 compared with the month of April with 519, noting that Chief Veno officially started as Police Chief May 1<sup>st</sup>.

L. Gargiulo inquired if the Police Department is fully staffed at this time, and R. Veno responded that they are; however, an officer has informed him of his intent to retire later this year. R. Veno further elaborated that the Officer had discussed a floating date of beginning of August initially, but more recently has said the end of November, so R. Veno asked him to put his intent in writing last Friday and has not yet received the letter. L. Gargiulo proposed that R. Veno initiate the search process to fill the position earlier, as there is no “down side” of starting the interview process, as the hiring timeframe can be extensive and lengthy. E. Beattie stated that he thought the search process has started, and K. Anderson responded that to date all of this information has been verbal and that a request to put the intent in writing will initiate the search process. E. Beattie said that the position could be advertised as “expected opening/vacancy” until the exact retirement date is known. M. Lane agreed with E. Beattie and L. Gargiulo for R. Veno to start the search now.

R. Veno reported that he attended the last School Board meeting, in light of the recent tragedy in Texas, to discuss the subject of School Safety. He said that he wanted to determine what the Police Departments’ needs would be going forward in addition to training and equipment. He has been looking into whether there are grants for non-lethal equipment he may pursue, like tools to break doors down or shields. He continued that there is a more pressing need to upgrade the Police Department rifles that are 6–7-year-old Colt rifles with barrels that are too long. He said that the newer rifles have a shorter barrel by 5 or 6 inches in length, that is safer for going down hallways and turning corners. He has been obtaining information on potential vendors to provide the rifles and quotes for purchase, and has identified the price to be approximately \$1,000 each. M. Lane asked if a 6 or 7-year-old rifle is considered an “old” rifle, and R. Veno responded no, but that Colt is no longer making the type utilized by the Town. He continued that in conversations with the SERT (Seacoast Emergency Response Team) team they have pointed out that other agencies have acquired the shorter barrel models. M. Lane asked what does the Police Department do with the old rifles? R. Veno responded disposition of old rifles depends on how they were purchased throughout the years. The current rifles were purchased by the Police Association, and the current pistols were purchased 50/50 by the Police Association and the Town, so this would be a subject to discuss further as to how to purchase/fund new rifles. M. Lane said that there may a source of funding for Police Equipment purchase from the recent gift by Maureen Stard. L. Gargiulo said that there also is the potential of federal grants coming under the Safety Act that is being proposed, and that could be a substantial amount, so he stated that the Town should identify what they want to buy and then figure out where the funding is coming from, but he thinks that there may be

*FINAL*

additional funding sources other than what is currently available. R. Veno agreed saying that the expectation is that because of the tragedy in Texas that perhaps more grant money will be made available.

**Road Agent Monthly Report** – Russ Hilliard distributed photos to the Selectmen of the inside of the Curtis Road new culvert recently constructed/installed showing beaver activity of branches and natural materials built-up on the inside of the culvert. He said that he has cleaned it out approximately three (3) times in the past month, and the Beavers keep rebuilding! E. Beattie asked if a Leveler could be installed, and R. Hilliard did not think that would be an effective solution inside of the culvert, that he would prefer a Beaver Gate system, although he may be able to install a Leveler on the outside of the culvert. That culvert is an aluminum material that is softer and R. Hilliard would not want to bring a piece of equipment inside the culvert to potentially bend or break the aluminum. M. Lane stated that he thought the Selectmen should take action on this now, as the next Selectmen's meeting is not scheduled for another three (3) weeks, and especially since the Road Agent has already cleared out the culvert three times. R. Hilliard will look into costs for installation of some Beaver Gates for the Curtis Road culvert.

R. Hilliard received a response from the company handling the Crack Sealing, and they are back-logged to mid-September. The company, Fine Lines, handling the Stop Bar painting should be able to start work in approximately two-weeks, and that work can happen as long as the outside temperature is above fifty-(50)-degrees.

R. Hilliard met with Jones & Beach Engineers regarding the Evergreen Road drainage project, and R. Hilliard has approved their revisions, so the Town should be receiving that set of revised plans soon.

R. Hilliard raised the subject for discussion regarding the fee the Town charges for Driveway Permits. He said that he does not feel that the Town is charging enough money for its Driveway Permits. The current fee is thirty-five-dollars (\$35) and he said that at that rate, the Town is not covering its costs. E. Beattie asked for R. Hilliard's recommendation, and he responded that he was not sure if the Town was looking to make money on the permit, or, to break even by covering their costs. To break even he estimated that the fee should be approximately \$100. A typical Driveway Permit process has R. Hilliard complete a minimum of two site visits, and often three are necessary. He presented some comparative Driveway Permit Fees from other towns for discussion purposes. Greenland is at \$25, North Hampton Hampton and Seabrook are all at \$50, and Dover is at \$75. K. Anderson added that the Board of Selectmen would need to hold a Public Hearing to consider changing Fees, and if that effort was going to happen for Driveway Permit fees, it would be worth asking all of the Department Heads to look at all of their fees to see if there are any other fees warranting reconsideration to cover administrative costs, and then hold only one Public Hearing for consideration of all proposed changes to (permit) fees. L. Gargiulo had reluctance to increase all fees, because he felt that the Driveway Permit fee is a unique type of permit, often obtained by a developer as part of the cost of building a new home, and is not the type of permit obtained by an existing resident. E. Beattie asked how many Driveway Permits are processed annually, and R. Hilliard responded two or three. L. Gargiulo said that he would agree to defer judgement on the subject of increased fees until all fees are considered. M. Lane said that the Selectmen will ask boards and committees to look at their fees and then the Selectmen will make a

*FINAL*

decision at that point. E. Beattie said that this fits in with the overall analysis of the equitability of the use of town resources.

Another topic for discussion is “After the Fact” fees, to incentivize people to get the permit the first time. K. Anderson said that Hampton’s is \$50 for the Driveway Permit, or \$250 after the fact, if the person does not get the permit from the outset. R. Hilliard said that he discussed this concept with M. Sikorski, Building Inspector, and they concluded to propose double the cost of the permit for a fine afterwards, for not getting the permit in the first place, and to apply that concept to all types of permits. E. Beattie is in support of the concept of double the permit cost for a fine for not obtaining the permit at the beginning, as he stated that it would allow the Building Inspector to have accurate records. E. Beattie also supported the idea of taking a look at all fees to make sure the Town is not below cost on other fees, and then deciding which, if any, to adjust. M. Lane asked K. Anderson if the Selectmen needed to hold a Public Hearing to adopt the fine of double the permit, and she will check.

**Roadside Mowing** - R. Hilliard reported that he put the Roadside Mowing work out for pricing and received one price back from Dan Sewall, of Sewall Enterprises, dated 06/07/2022 at \$10,450. R. Hilliard submitted a non-emergency Highway Dept project worksheet approval request for Mowing and Vertical Trimming on all Town roads for \$9,500. R. Hilliard said that he is out mowing the sides of the road anyway to reduce sight hazards near intersections, and vertical clearance. In 2020, the town paid \$9,500 for that service. \$11,000 has been budgeted for the roadside mowing. E. Beattie asked R. Hilliard if his request included the vertical trimming as well, and he confirmed that it does. He said that he would start mid-July, and that it was not desirable to start any earlier because the grass grows back fast at this time of year. E. Beattie said that when he started on the Board of Selectmen, they reduced the mowing to once per year from twice; if start mid-July then there isn’t a need for a second mowing due to summer heat and dryer conditions/slower growth.

**MOTION: To approve Mowing and Vertical Trimming, by the Road Agent, on all Town roads for \$9,500 from Budget account 576.**

**MOTION: M. LANE**

**SECOND: L. GARGIULO**

**UNANIMOUS**

**Leaves at the Brush Dump** – R. Hilliard presented a concept of composting leaves at the Brush Dump. He described the pile of leaves as getting high, and the need to do something about it. R. Hilliard said that he would be willing to use an excavator to rotate the leaves, add some Lime and Fertilizer and some Loam would be produced. He proposed a split of 25% of the compost for the Town residents, and 75% of the compost for R. Hilliard. He would provide this service to the Town at no cost. E. Beattie said that this proposal solves two problems of managing the pile of leaves at the Brush Dump and providing some compost to residents. There was discussion of when the compost would be available and there was consensus for Saturdays on Brush Dump days seasonally in non-Winter months. All three Selectmen were in favor of this proposal.

**MOTION: To approve the Road Agent to move forward establishing a leaf composting program at the Town Brush Dump , at no cost to the Town, with a split of 25% to the Town and 75% to R. Hilliard.**

**MOTION: E. BEATTIE**

**SECOND: L. GARGIULO**

*FINAL*

**UNANIMOUS**

**Road Agent Core Services** Invoice for May 2022 in the amount of \$6,367.08 includes previously approved work on Old Stage Rd Culvert for \$2,250 and the Public Safety Building drainage repair work for \$1,175.

**MOTION:** To approve the Road Agent's May 2022 invoice in the amount of \$6,367.08.

**MOTION:** L. GARGIULO

**SECOND:** E. BEATTIE

**UNANIMOUS**

**Fire / Ambulance / Emergency Management Report** – Jay Lord, Fire Chief

Chief Lord was not available to attend. M. Lane asked the Selectmen if there were any questions regarding the Fire Chief's report as submitted, and there were none.

**Building Inspector, Code Compliance, Health Officer Monthly Report** – Mark Sikorski updated the Selectmen that the Mini-Split air handler system was installed in the Large Meeting Room of Town Hall. He said that it could run on a dehumidification mode and he will establish a routine to maintain a flat environment, and/or a routine to enact before meetings. E. Beattie expressed how appreciative he is about the installation of the Mini-Splits saying that they were such a great decision to implement, especially over at the Museum where they have made a positive difference and not really affected the aesthetic of the building. M. Lane agreed with the idea of putting the system on the dehumidification setting. M. Sikorski explained that at the Museum it is important to have all units that run on the same condenser run on the same setting/mode. That is not the case at Town Hall as each mini-split has their own condenser on each side of the building, hidden in the landscaping. L. Gargiulo added that the best part is that the residents of Hampton Falls did not pay for the Mini-Splits from tax revenue; the funding came from the federal government as a result of ARPA funds/COVID relief, so it was not a direct impact to the tax rate. K. Anderson added that the Selectmen had allocated \$20,000 from that same ARPA federal grant for the Mini-Splits for the Large meeting Room in Town Hall, and the bid came in at \$14,000 plus the electrical work, so it was under budget.

**OLD BUSINESS**

**Financial Reports: General Fund Balance:** \$966,731.36

K. Anderson reported the General Fund Balance and stated that late Monday the School payment (the Town had been holding) was sent to the school representing an amount a little over \$500,000 so that is going to bring the GFB balance down, but tax bills are due July 1<sup>st</sup> so quite a bit of revenue is expected in the next week. Then the Town will be releasing \$311,000 to the Trustees of the Trust Funds for the warrant articles approved in March.

L. Gargiulo asked if K. Anderson had been made aware of a cashflow issue with the Trustees of the Trust Funds (TTF), at their meeting last Thursday night, where they did not have the balance in their account to pay a bill for the Tricentennial, and K. Anderson responded that she had not been made aware of that scenario. She did know that the TTF were temporarily locked out of their Vanguard account to be able to pull balances because the Library and the TTF share a Tax ID number for the Town. She will follow-up with the TTF Chair for resolution of those issues.

*FINAL*

**Executive: Payroll Warrant:**

K. Anderson introduced the financial warrants needing approval from the Selectmen, saying that one Payroll Warrant had a calculation error that needed correcting as follows: To correct the amount of Payroll Warrant # 574 dated May 13, 2022, to the correct amount of \$33,881.83. To approve the amount of Payroll Warrant # 576 dated June 8, 2022, in the amount of \$29,142.14. To approve Accounts Payable Warrant #812 dated June 8, 2022, in the amount of \$191,553.47. To approve Escrow Account Warrant #7 dated June 8, 2022 in the amount of \$1,975.00 to be paid from the Frying Pan Lane Fund.

**MOTION: To correct the amount of Payroll Warrant # 574 dated May 13, 2022, to the correct amount of \$33,881.83. To approve the amount of Payroll Warrant # 576 dated June 8, 2022, in the amount of \$29,142.14. To approve Accounts Payable Warrant #812 dated June 8, 2022, in the amount of \$191,553.47. To approve Escrow Account Warrant #7 dated June 8, 2022 in the amount of \$1,975.00 to be paid from the Frying Pan Lane Fund.**

**MOTION: E. BEATTIE**

**SECOND: L. GARGIULO**

**UNANIMOUS**

**Executive: 2022 Warrant for Unregistered Dogs:**

The Town Clerk has made several attempts to get unlicensed dogs registered, with mailings, emails and phone calls to the owners. The warrant is required by RSA 466:13-14 enacting the Civil Forfeiture Statute. The Board of Selectmen are then required to issue the warrant for the Police Department to serve, on, or before, August 31<sup>st</sup>. The Forfeiture Notices are then delivered in hand, or left at the property, by the Police Department or their designee. The costs are added to the original dog license fee. There are currently twenty-seven (27) unlicensed dogs in Hampton Falls, as of 06/22/2022.

**MOTION: To issue the Civil Forfeiture Warrant for unlicensed dogs in accordance with RSA 466:14.**

**MOTION: E. BEATTIE**

**SECOND: L. GARGIULO**

**UNANIMOUS**

**Highway: Glenwood Crestview, and Woodlawn Roads Project Drainage Improvements, Bid**

**Revision by JAMCO:** K. Anderson explained that there were two (2) bids received for this project, JAMCO Excavators and another company that was substantially higher, so after the significant difference of over \$100,000, JAMCO went back over their numbers to make sure there was nothing they overlooked, and performed an additional review of their submitted bid package, and found an error in the computation of their final sum. JAMCO is requesting a revision to their bid from \$99,994.45 to \$112,494.45 (an increase of \$12,500). The next highest bid was \$252,500 for context. Jones & Beach have referred Jamco to the Town as they are not authorized to approve the change.

M. Lane asked what the Town had originally estimated for that project, and R. Hilliard explained that a lot has changed with that project over time, that it may have started out at \$40,000 then was revised to \$80,000. M. Lane said that this current project will impact the project line item going over budget, to which K. Anderson responded that if the Grant is awarded for the 70 Drinkwater Road Culvert, then that would free-up budgeted money for that project to cover the now anticipated overage on the Glenwood project.

*FINAL*

E. Beattie asked what precedent is being set revising a bid even though it was the lowest bid, and still is the lowest bid including the adjustment. K. Anderson responded that she did not think it was an issue because it is within the board's prerogative. The scope of the project changed from the time that it went out to bid, and addendums were sent out mostly to address the inability to get the size of catch basin that it had been designed for, and now it is in the Board of Selectmen's area to negotiate.

E. Beattie asked if Jones & Beach Engineers ever identified at the outset, that the road was built improperly, or that a building permit was issued erroneously because the location for development is too low? The Town is picking up developmental costs that were not properly done before the Town accepted the road. Did the town ever get an answer to why this is the way it is? M. Sikorski responded that pre-1988 wetlands were not an impediment to development. Also the construction of I-95 changed the waterflow. R. Hilliard offered that there is the issue of stream silting now, and that it does not take much for the water to back up. M. Lane asked R. Hilliard when the project would start at Glenwood, and he responded that he will find out.

**MOTION: To approve the Glenwood/Crestview/Woodlawn Drainage improvement bid revision from \$99,994.45 to \$112,494.45.**

**MOTION: L. GARGIULO**

**SECOND: E. BEATTIE**

**UNANIMOUS**

**Other Selectmen's Old Business** There was no Other Selectmen's Old Business.

**OLD BUSINESS PUBLIC COMMENT** There was no Old Business Public Comment.

**NEW BUSINESS**

**Executive: July-August 2022 Newsletter Approval:**

The Selectmen each commented that they thought the proposed July-August 2022 Town Newsletter looked good as written, and indicated their approval to proceed to publish.

**Financial Administration: Primex Contribution Assistance Program Renewal:**

K. Anderson described that the Town has been following the Primex Contribution Assistance program for both Worker's Compensation and also for Property Liability. What it does is it limits the percentage increase to annual premiums to a maximum of 10% for Workers' Compensation and 9% for Property & Liability coverage for a three-year period, so the Town agrees to stay with Primex for a period of three-years, and Primex agrees to limit the amount of increase. In practice, each year that there has been an increase, it has not come close to what the maximum cap has been. M. Lane said that the Town has been with Primex for a very long time, and K. Anderson confirmed that Primex really is the only option for municipalities for property liability insurance. If the town were to look on the "open market" for property liability coverage it is difficult because the coverage will not include things like roads and bridges, that are large ticket items for municipalities for which coverage is essential. Worker's Compensation Insurance is available on the "open market", however Primex is a risk pool so the rate tends to be lower than it would be on the commercial market because of Police and Fire (number of injuries that can happen). M. Lane said that it makes sense to renew with Primex. K. Anderson said that

*FINAL*

it makes it easy for budgeting because the Town budgets for the maximum rate, and the rates are available typically in November to plug into the Town budget process with the actual rates available by the end of the budget process.

Hampton Falls has traditionally taken advantage of this program, which expires this year. Primex has offered to renew this optional program for both products. If the Town agrees to extend the commitment to utilizing Primex for Property Liability and Worker's Compensation policies for three years, Primex will limit any increase to the specific percentages, applied to the previous year's cost for the same period. Most often, the increase is less than the capped amount. The advantage of the program is for budgeting purposes, the disadvantage is the inability to look at other options for the coverage.

**MOTION: To hereby accept the offer of the New Hampshire Public Risk Management Exchange (Primex) to enter into its Workers' Compensation Contribution Assurance Program (CAP) as of June 22, 2022, and to be contractually bound to all of the terms and conditions of Primex risk management pool membership during the time of the Workers' Compensation Contribution Assurance Program (CAP). The coverage provided by Primex in each year of membership shall be as then set forth in the Coverage Documents of Primex.**

**MOTION: L. GARGIULO**

**SECOND: E.BEATTIE**

**UNANIMOUS**

**MOTION: To hereby accept the offer of the New Hampshire Public Risk Management Exchange (Primex) to enter into its Property & Liability Contribution Assurance Program (CAP) as of June 22, 2022, and to be contractually bound to all of the terms and conditions of Primex risk management pool membership during the time of the Property & Liability Contribution Assurance Program (CAP). The coverage provided by Primex in each year of membership shall be as then set forth in the Coverage Documents of Primex.**

**MOTION: L. GARGIULO**

**SECOND: E.BEATTIE**

**UNANIMOUS**

**Highway: Winter Road Maintenance Bid:**

K. Anderson reported that the Winter Road Maintenance Bid was due on Wednesday June 15<sup>th</sup>, and one bid was received. The bid was opened in public. Initial review is that the agreement requires a clause that makes the agreement subject to annual funding, otherwise the approval for a multi-year contract needs to go to Town Meeting for approval. The 2021 budget for Winter Road Maintenance is \$210,000 with a remaining budget for Winter 2021 of \$102,216. With the drastic increase in diesel fuel costs, K. Anderson anticipated an increase in the snow removal budget. A two-year, renewable agreement, subject to cost negotiations, was specified as the term in the RFP and may be in the best interest of the town. Negotiations with the vendor is appropriate for a Non-Public Session.

E. Beattie suggested that the Selectmen "table" this discussion to the end of the meeting and then hold a "Non-Public Session" to discuss the details. L. Gargiulo said that it was his opinion that the Town should go out to bid again because if the vendor is not willing to come close to a reduction, there is not a lot of time and there is an obligation to the taxpayers to go out to bid one more time. He added that he is willing to discuss it but unless there is a significant concession, he does not how the Town can go from

*FINAL*

\$210,000 to \$380,000 with one vendor, and thinks the Town should at least try again with other vendors to explore any other option. M. Lane asked if J. Ziolkowski had any questions, and J. Ziolkowski asked if he could be included in the Non-Public Session, and the response was that he would have to be, at least for part of it.

**Government Buildings: Approval for Improvements at Museum – Stained Glass Display and Mural:** K. Anderson described that the Hampton Falls Historical Society has requested to make two (2) improvements to the Museum Building in preparation of the Tricentennial. They currently have \$5,000 remaining from their 2021 allocation from the Frying Pan Lane Fund, and, there are also funds available in the Government Buildings Capital Reserve Fund.

Two stained glass panels and a mural, depicting Hampton Falls history, have been commissioned. The stained glass will fill two oval openings in the interior of the building at a cost of \$5,000, and, a mural 36" x 84" will be added to one wall for \$1,690.00. The \$5,000 stained glass window costs are appropriate to come from the Frying Pan Lane Fund, and, the mural costs be taken from the Government Buildings Improvement Fund. E. Beattie added that the two stained glass windows will be images of Salt Marsh Haying in one, and the Falls in the other.

**MOTION: To authorize the expenditure of \$5,000 from the Frying Pan Lane Fund for historical displays at the town-owned Museum.**

**MOTION: L. GARGIULO**

**SECOND: E. BEATTIE**

**UNANIMOUS**

**MOTION: To authorize the expenditure of \$1,690 from the Government Building Fund for the wall covering mural at the town-owned Museum.**

**MOTION: L. GARGIULO**

**SECOND: E. BEATTIE**

**UNANIMOUS**

**Planning Board: RPC Circuit Rider Renewal:**

K. Anderson presented the Rockingham Planning Commission's renewal contract for the Circuit Rider Planner. The Board of Selectmen typically approves this contract based on the recommendation of the Planning Board.

**MOTION: To approve the renewal of the RPC Circuit Rider Planner contract in the amount of \$16,100 subject to the concurrence of the Planning Board at their June 28, 2022 meeting.**

**MOTION: E. BEATTIE**

**SECOND: L. GARGIULO**

**UNANIMOUS**

**Other Selectmen's New Business**

K. Anderson reported that she had a meeting yesterday with Mr. Mikael Pyrtel, Business Resource Specialist with the NH Division of Economic Development as a result of a conversation she had with Commissioner Caswell at the Town Manager's conference she attended last week in Keene. M. Lane sat in on the meeting as well to discuss economic development along Lafayette Road and the proposed sewer project. M. Pyrtel will be reaching out to NH DES to see if there are any additional funds available for the Town for the sewer connection, and he also agreed to reach out to NH DES in support



**BOARD OF SELECTMEN  
TOWN OF HAMPTON FALLS**

**JUNE 22, 2022 – 8:00 AM  
TOWN HALL**

*FINAL*

of the Planning Grant that has already been submitted for the \$100,000 to hire an expert to look at the holistic approach of all options available to connect sewer. At the end of their meeting K. Anderson took M. Pyrtel on a tour of Lafayette Road to show him the existing conditions and to identify specific details. She concluded her description of the meeting saying that she thought it was a very positive meeting, and M. Lane agreed.

L. Gargiulo said that there is no site in Hampton Falls identified on which to locate a sewer system. K. Anderson responded that that is why the Town wants to hire an expert to review options. She continued that there is, currently, a private developer looking to redevelop a parcel on Lafayette Road and he is talking with the Town of Hampton regarding sewer. K. Anderson is still waiting to hear back from the Town of Seabrook for their response to what they would charge the Town of Hampton Falls for a “Buy-In” cost. So, there are lots of moving pieces right now and the impression that she got from the meeting was that the State is willing to help the Town. M. Lane agreed that the biggest “take-away” from the meeting yesterday was that the Business development group at the State obviously has an interest in seeing certain areas of the state improved, and it was pretty clear from their conversation that he saw what an impact it would make from improving the look, the value, and the use of Lafayette Road if we solve the sewer issue. M. Lane said that he thought the meeting was impactful on M. Pyrtel as well. In terms of Hampton Falls’ opportunity to work out something with Seabrook, or Hampton, or whichever direction the Town ends up going, having the State’s backing and support of this plan could be helpful. It ultimately could take away some of the concerns that Seabrook expressed, whether Hampton Falls improving the small section of Route 1 would impact the business in Seabrook. M. Lane said that he did not think that idea made any sense; conversely, he said that bringing sewer to Route 1 in Hampton Falls would make the entire route beneficial to all. K. Anderson concluded the discussion saying that there will be more to come on that subject, but the project is moving forward.

**NEW BUSINESS PUBLIC COMMENT** There was no New Business Public Comment.

**REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF PREVIOUS MEETING MINUTES: June 01, 2022**

**MOTION:** To approve the minutes of June 1, 2022 as written / as amended.

**MOTION:** E. BEATTIE

**SECOND:** L. GARGIULO

**UNANIMOUS**

**Motion to enter Nonpublic Session** made by L. GARGIULO seconded by E. BEATTIE.

**Specific Statutory Reason** cited as foundation for the nonpublic session:

\_\_\_\_ RSA 91-A:3, II(i) *Consideration of matters relating to the preparation for and the carrying out of emergency functions, including training to carry out such functions, developed by local or state safety officials that are directly intended to thwart a deliberate act that is intended to result in widespread or severe damage to property or widespread injury or loss of life.*

<b>Roll Call vote</b> to enter nonpublic session:	Mark Lane, Chairman	Y
	Edward Beattie, Vice Chairman	Y
	Lou Gargiulo, Selectman	Y

*Mark R. Lane, Chairman – Edward B. Beattie, Vice Chairman – Lou Gargiulo, Selectman*

**BOARD OF SELECTMEN  
TOWN OF HAMPTON FALLS**

**JUNE 22, 2022 – 8:00 AM  
TOWN HALL**

*FINAL*

**Entered nonpublic session** at 9:05 AM.

Other persons present during nonpublic session: Jim Ziolkowski, ELM

Description of matters discussed and final decisions made: No decisions were made.

**Motion to leave nonpublic session** and return to public session by E. BEATTIE, seconded by L. GARGIULO.

**Motion: PASSED**

**Public session reconvened** at 9:30 AM.

**MOTION: To adjourn the meeting at 9:30 AM**

**MOTION: L. GARGIULO**

**SECOND: E. BEATTIE**

**UNANIMOUS**

Next Selectmen's Meeting Wednesday, July 13, 2022 6:00 PM

Board of Selectmen's Meeting Minutes prepared by Rachel D. Webb, Planning/Zoning/Town Secretary